

Journal of Agricultural Biotechnology and Sustainable Development

Volume 9 Number 7 December 2017
ISSN 2141-2340



ABOUT JABSD

The Journal of Agricultural Biotechnology and Sustainable Development (JABSD) (ISSN: 2141-2340) is an open access journal that provides rapid publication (monthly) of articles in all areas of the subject such as Effects of nitrogen fertilizer and irrigation regimes on seed yield of calendula, Partial purification of leaf lectin from Manihot esculenta and screening its fungicidal activity, genetic variability and interrelationship among the different traits in Microsperma lentil, Histopathology of Raphia hookeri leaf infected with Glomerella cingulata causing seedling blight, Effect of explant type, source and genotype on in vitro shoot regeneration in Macadamia etc.

The Journal welcomes the submission of manuscripts that meet the general criteria of significance and scientific excellence. Papers will be published shortly after acceptance. All articles published in JABSD are peer-reviewed.

Contact Us

Editorial Office: jabsd@academicjournals.org

Help Desk: helpdesk@academicjournals.org

Website: <http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/JABSD>

Submit manuscript online <http://ms.academicjournals.me/>

Editors

Prof. Olaleye A.O

Department of Soil Science & Resource Conservation, Faculty of Agriculture, The National University of Lesotho, Roma 180, Lesotho.

Prof. Ji-Hong Liu

College of Horticulture and Forestry Sciences, National Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, 430070, China.

Dr Olufemi Martins Adesope

University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria, Nigeria.

Dr. Anil Vyas

Microbial Biotechnology and Biofertilizer Laboratory, Department of Botany, J.N. Vyas University, Jodhpur, India.

Dr. Meltem Sesli

College of Tobacco Expertise, Turkish Republic, Celal Bayar University 45210, Akhisar, Manisa, Turkey.

Prof. Mohamed Fouad M. Abdalla

Head of Vegetable Sci. Division, Faculty of Agric., Assiut University, Assiut, 71526, Egypt.

Dr. Guy L. Plourde

Departement of Chemistry, The University of Northern BC, 3333 University Way, Prince George, BC, Canada, V2N 4Z9.

Prof. Shao Hongbo

Institute of Life Sciences, Qingdao University of Science & Technology, China.

Editorial Board

Dr. Kamal Ghasemi Bezdi

*Cotton Research Institute of Iran,
Beheshti St, Gorgan, Iran*

Dr. Hossein Aliabadi Farahani

*Islamic Azad University of Shahriar (Shahr-e-Qods)
Branch, Iran.*

Dr. Henry de-Graft Acquah

*Department of Agricultural Economics and Extension,
School of Agriculture, University of Cape Coast,
Cape Coast, Ghana.*

Dr. Shi Lei

*College of Life Science, Qufu Normal University, Shandong
Province, P.R. of China.*

Dr. Johnson Toyin Fasinmirin

Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria.

Dr. Olufemi Martins Adesope

University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

Dr. Selene Aguilera

*Centro De Investigacion Y De estudios Avanzados Del, I.P.N
Unidad Irapuato (Cinvestav-Unidad Irapuato), Mexico.*

Dr. Shaid Javed Butt

MAS-Arid Agriculture University, Rawalpindi, Pakistan.

Dr. Birinchi Kumar Sarma

*Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu
University, Varanasi 221005, India*

Dr. R. Khalid Hussain

Shakarganj Sugar Research Institute, Jhang, Pakistan.

Dr. Osman Radwan

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA.

Dr. Syed Zia ul Hussain

*Plant Bacteriology and Plant Pathologist
Shakarganj Sugar Research Institute, Toba Road Jhang,
Pakistan.*

Dr. Taiga Akpovughaye

*Kogi state university, Anyigba, Department of
Biological Sciences, Nigeria.*

DR. Hamdi Abbas Ibrahim

Nobles Group, Sudan.

Dr. Vo Quang Minh

*Cantho University 3/2 Street, Ninh kieu district, Cantho City,
Vietnam.*

Prof. Alex C. Chindah

*Institute of Pollution Studies Rivers State, University of
Science and Technology Nkpulu-Oroworukwo,
PortHarcourt, Nigeria.*

Dr. Ömür Baysal

*West Meditereanean Agricultural Research
Institute (BATEM), Turkey.*

Dr. Aditya Garg Pratap

*West Meditereanean Agricultural Research
Institute (BATEM), Turkey.*

Dr. J. Francis Borgio

*Department of Microbiology, St. Joseph's College
(Autonomous), Bangalore – 27, India.*

Dr. Radhakrishnan Senthilkumar

*Center for Research and PG studies, Indian
Academy Degree college, Bangalore, India
560043,*

Dr. Ali Abdullah Alderfasi

*King Saud University, College of Food and
Agricultural Science, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.*

Prof. Mousumi Debnath

*Jaipur Engineering College and Research Centre,
(Affiliated to Rajasthan Technical University
and accredited by All India Council of technical education),
India.*

ARTICLE

- Evaluation of multivoltine x bivoltine hybrids of mulberry silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. tolerant to disease and high yield at various generation for end users** 54
Ahmed Ibrahim, Kedir Shifa, Metasebia Terefe and Abiy Tilahun

Full length Research Paper

Evaluation of multivoltine x bivoltine hybrids of mulberry silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. tolerant to disease and high yield at various generation for end users

Ahmed Ibrahim*, Kedir Shifa, Metasebia Terefe and Abiy Tilahun

Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Melkassa Agricultural Research Center, P.O.Box-436, Melkassa, Ethiopia.

Received 16 August, 2017; Accepted 22 September, 2017

This study was conducted in the Sericulture Research Laboratory of Melkassa Research Center. Four bivoltine and one polyvoltine silkworm were involved in the crossing experiment and laid out in complete randomized design with four replications. Data was collected on fecundity, pupation rate (%), number of diseased larvae, survival rate (%), cocoon weight (g), cocoon shell weight (g), cocoon shell ratio (%) and filament length (m). Uniform and non-significant numbers of eggs produced by adults and cocooning percentages were recorded for both hybrid and parents in all the generations. Average larval weight significantly ($P<0.01$) reduced for F1, F2, F3 and F4 generations hybrids than parents but increased in F5, F6 and F7 generations hybrids. Silk ratios and survival rates significantly ($P<0.01$) increased for all hybrids than parent bivoltine in all hybrid generations. Larval period significantly ($P<0.01$) reduced in F5, F6 and F7 generations hybrid than parent bivoltines. Filament length significantly ($P<0.01$) reduced in F1, F2, F3 and F4 generations hybrids than parent bivoltine but significantly increased in F5, F6 and F7 generations hybrids than parent bivoltines. It can be concluded that instead of using parent polyvoltine and bivoltine mulberry silkworms separately for silk production, the farmers can use F5 and above generations hybrids of multivoltine x bivoltine for relatively higher disease resistance and maximum silk productions.

Key words: Polyvoltine silkworm, bivoltine silkworm, hybrids.

INTRODUCTION

Silkworm diseases form major constraint in realizing full potential of the silkworm hybrids. Among all the silkworm diseases that cause damage, viral diseases are most serious (Samson, 1990; Subba, 1991; Sivaprakasam and

Rabindra, 1995). Nuclear polyhedrosis (BmNPV) belongs to Baculoviridae, causes nuclear polyhedrosis (grasserie) in silkworms which is the most common viral disease and is prevalent in almost all the sericulture areas in India.

*Corresponding author. E-mail: ibrahimyuya02@gmail.com.

Grasserie disease accounts for about 50% of total crop loss due to viral diseases (Samson, 1992).

Under the above circumstances, among many measures of silkworm disease control and prevention, the utilization of disease resistant/tolerant silkworm breed/hybrid together with disinfection would be the most effective step in the direction of the disease prevention (Sivaprasad and Chandrashekharaiyah, 2003). Breeding as an important tool has been used by many breeders for exploiting the inherent heterosis. The aim of the most breeding programmes is to improve the yield potential of the breeds/hybrids over the existing one which has played a vital role in increasing the productivity in sericulture (Reddy and Sinha, 2009; Reddy et al., 2009a, b; Reddy and Prasad, 2010; Seshagiri et al., 2009). Silkworm hybrids show improved reeling performances over pure races (Reddy and Sinha, 2009, 2010). The silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. forms one of the very important insects of choice with large number of strains which is best exemplified for utilization of heterosis by crossing them in different combinations (Datta and Nagaraju, 1987).

Among various reasons for low productivity of Ethiopian silk worms, is the lack of highly productive silkworm and diseases resistant races suitable to environmental conditions. In addition, Ethiopian sericulture is mostly polyvoltine and some bivoltine oriented and the qualities of the breeds have deteriorated as a result of continuous and prolonged inbreeding. Thus, breeding emphasizes the need for developing promising genotype of known genetic potential to increase productivity in plants and animals (Yokoyama, 1956).

Melkassa Agricultural Research Center started sericulture activity since 1990 on Eri (eri-3.4 and India) and Mulberry silkworms (multivoltine and bivoltine). For the last 14 to 15 years, silkworms have been maintained in the center through self-crossing methods. As a result, disease tolerant capability is deteriorating from time to time for some races of Eri and mulberry silkworms due to losses of resistant genetic potential, while the others are relatively resistant to disease and high yield. Inter-strain/breed differences in susceptibility or relative tolerance to a number of silkworm diseases have been reported (Sivaprasad and Chandrashekharaiyah, 2003).

However, no report is available on development of multivoltine x bivoltine silkworm hybrids tolerant to diseases, especially under Ethiopian tropical conditions. Thus, in the present study, an attempt was made to develop relatively higher disease tolerant and yielder cross breed of silkworm for commercial as well as farmer's exploitation.

Objectives

1. To develop relatively disease resistant hybrids of mulberry silkworm, *B. mori*
2. To develop high yielding hybrids of mulberry silkworm, *B. mori*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The trial was conducted at Melkassa Agricultural Research Center, in the sericulture research laboratory. By employing multivoltine x bivoltine, mulberry feeding silkworms crossed and a total of four hybrids and five parents were used in the experiment (Mulberry multivoltine (mmyc) x Mulberry bivoltine (Kenya4), Mulberry multivoltine (mmyc) x Mulberry bivoltine (China2), Mulberry multivoltine (mmyc) x Mulberry bivoltine (Kenya5), Mulberry multivoltine (mmyc) x Mulberry bivoltine (Korea1), Mulberry multivoltine yellow cocoon, Mulberry bivoltine Kenya4, Mulberry Bivoltine Kenya5, Mulberry Bivoltine Korea1, Mulberry Bivoltine China2). To conduct the trial, alcohol, table knife, Mulberry silkworm, thermo-hygro-meter, mountage, humidifier, sensitive balance, leaf chopper, leaf storage box, feeding tray, shelving box, plastic sheet, razorblades and disinfectant chemicals were prepared. Parent bivoltines and their hybrids were treated with HCl chemicals to break the diapousing mechanisms. The existing mulberry multivoltine (yellow cocoon), Kenya and Korea bivoltine silkworms were used for this study because the races are having different characteristic features. Mulberry multivoltine (yellow cocoon color) has relatively disease resistant ability than bivoltine but the cocoon size is very small. Mulberry feeding Kenyan and Korean bivoltine have big cocoon size, however, diseases tolerant capability were very low. Therefore, higher disease tolerant ability and yellow cocoon color, and lower disease tolerant ability and big cocoon sizes are significant characteristic features of mulberry multivoltine and bivoltine silkworms, respectively, used for experimentation. Prior to implementation of the hybridization, isolated room was prepared for hybridization of the silkworm races to avoid contact with other species. In the isolated room, different boxes of the same sizes, which are having sufficient air circulation system, were prepared for mating of the adult races and growing of larvae is until mounting. An equal age and sexually matured mulberry multivoltine yellow as Female (PA1) and mulberry feeding Korea, China and Kenyans (K4 and K5) bivoltines as a male (PB1, PB2 and PB3) were used for the first phase combination/hybridization (PA1 x PB1=F1-1, PA1 x PB2=F1-2, PA1 x PB3=F1-3 and PA1 x PB4=F1-4 hybrid). For each parent A and B, 10 females and male were taken and mating was performed in the mating boxes. Four hybrids were produced (F1-1, F1-2, F1-3, and F1-4) and mating was performed in the mating boxes and stayed for about 5 to 6 h in the mating room. After 5 to 6 h of mating, male parents were discarded and female was put in the egg laying boxes. Egg laying required 18 to 24 h depending on environmental conditions of the experimental room. After 18 to 24 h of egg laying, an eggs was put in the egg hatching boxes. Hatching of an egg was performed from 10th to 14th day's oviposition. When an egg was hatched (90% and above), 200 newly arrived, young larvae's were transferred to feeding boxes. In each instar larval duration in the feeding boxes, careful observation and recording of all the necessary data were performed on the hybrids as well as parents, up to the seventh generations. The experiment was repeated for four times and the genetic expression of the hybrids on different economic traits [pupation rate (%), number of diseased larvae, fecundity, survival rate (%), cocoon weight (g), cocoon shell weight (g), cocoon shell ratio (%) and filament length (m)] were recorded and compared with original parents.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Silkworm breeding is the most important example where heterosis is being exploited commercially to the maximum extent. To achieve desired goals, cross breeding is widely used in commercial animal production as a means of exploiting heterosis (Sang, 1956;

Table 1. Evaluation of F1 generation of polyvoltinex bivoltines silkworm hybrids for some economic parameters.

Treatment	Fecundity (no.)	Hatchability With chemical (%)	Hatchability without chemical (%)	Average of 10 larval weight (g)	Larval period (days)	Survival rate (%)	Cocooning percentage	Silk ratio (%)	Filament length (m)
Myc x Kenya4	333.69 ^a ±6.33	81.90 ^c ±0.89	60.33 ^b ±2.60	36.91 ^b ±0.91	26.62 ^a ±0.20	76.09 ^c ±1.23	97.78 ^{ba} ±0.73	19.82 ^{ed} ±0.42	708.12 ^b ±5.58
Myc x Kenya5	341.18 ^a ±4.97	83.71 ^{bc} ±1.57	60.67 ^b ±1.76	37.11 ^b ±0.38	26.66 ^a ±0.33	78.02 ^{cb} ±0.85	97.65 ^{ba} ±0.46	20.40 ^{ecd} ±0.32	722.42 ^b ±5.78
Myc x China2	377.28 ^a ±16.66	86.51 ^b ±0.67	60.66 ^b ±2.33	38.02 ^b ±2.13	25.51 ^{bc} ±0.24	78.13 ^{cb} ±0.77	97.32 ^{ba} ±0.58	22.53 ^{ba} ±1.09	721.73 ^b ±12.90
Myc x Korea1	335.24 ^a ±7.11	81.91 ^c ±1.33	62.65 ^b ±3.00	36.54 ^b ±0.06	26.66 ^a ±0.33	78.09 ^{cb} ±1.09	97.63 ^{ba} ±0.67	19.63 ^a ±0.35	728.26 ^b ±19.59
Myc(multivoltine)	340.34 ^a ±17.51	96.31 ^a ±1.14	97.06 ^c ±0.47	32.43 ^c ±0.41	23.33 ^d ±0.33	91.97 ^a ±0.32	97.72 ^{ba} ±0.43	23.86 ^a ±0.90	575.88 ^c ±19.07
China2 (bivoltine)	335.93 ^a ±14.77	85.24 ^{bc} ±0.62	00.00 ^c ±0.00	42.06 ^a ±1.01	25.00 ^c ±0.57	80.97 ^b ±1.14	98.16 ^a ±0.95	21.96 ^{bc} ±0.23	793.18 ^a ±15.23
Kenya4(bivoltine)	352.19 ^a ±25.91	83.93 ^{bc} ±0.85	00.00 ^c ±0.00	43.87 ^a ±1.01	26.40 ^{ba} ±0.30	76.43 ^c ±1.28	96.65 ^{ba} ±0.00	19.30 ^e ±0.05	786.67 ^a ±9.22
Kenya5(bivoltine)	349.01 ^a ±19.54	84.12 ^{bc} ±1.31	00.00 ^c ±0.00	42.46 ^a ±0.72	26.66 ^a ±0.33	74.76 ^c ±3.05	97.44 ^{ba} ±0.00	20.14 ^{ed} ±0.63	769.73 ^a ±8.13
Korea1(bivoltine)	329.78 ^a ±10.88	82.67 ^c ±1.23	00.00 ^c ±0.00	43.69 ^a ±0.75	26.66 ^a ±0.33	76.19 ^c ±1.20	66.23 ^b ±0.31	21.53 ^{bcd} ±0.45	771.84 ^a ±9.65

Significant differences were observed for all economic parameters among different hybrids of polyvoltinex bivoltine crossing as well as parents. Hatchability with chemical significantly increased hybrids and mulberry mutivoltine followed by parent's bivoltines. After the eggs are laid, if they are subjected to an artificial treatment at appropriate embryonic developmental stage, it is possible to stimulate further growth of the embryo. But hatchability without chemical was nil for all bivoltine parents; however, significantly higher for multivoltine and hybrids (Tables 1 to 4). This is because once the embryo enters diapause, it hibernates through a specific period of time and when it experiences favourable temperature, it resumes its growth and then hatches (Roy, 1997). Roy (1997) and Wang et al. (2015) also explained that, in order to get maximum yield of silk from the silkworms, appropriate environmental conditions are required for both multivoltine and bivoltine silkworms. Larval period significantly reduced in mulberry multivoltine followed by mulberry bivoltine China2 and hybrid (China2 x myc); however, significantly higher larval period were observed in the other treatments (Table 1).

This is in agreement with Basavarag (2005) who reported that, naturally, mulberry multivoltine silkworms have lower larval period and relatively higher diseases resistance but lower cocoon yield as compared to bivoltines silkworms. Self-crossing of F1 generations of multivoltine x bivoltines hybrids were performed and evaluated for F2, F3 and F4 generations (Table 2). Insignificant and similar results were observed for number of eggs laid by adults and cocooning percentage but significant results were recorded for other parameters. Larval weight, larval period and filament length considerably increased in parent bivoltines followed by hybrids but very low in parent multivoltine (Table 2). This is in accordance with report of Basavaraja (2005) and Wang et al. (2015) that, the hybrid vigor is not expressed in some generations of silkworm hybrids as compared to parents due to lower generation manifestation for some hybrids of silkworms and environmental factors. Similarly, Basavaraja (2005) also opined that, multivoltine silkworms have lower cocoon size and short life period than bivoltine silkworms.

Numbers of eggs produced by adults, larva

weight, cocooning percentage, filament length of hybrids and parents were uniform and significantly higher results were observed in F5, F6 and F7 generations (Tables 3 and 4). According to Moorthy and Kar (2012), different results were observed, the pupation (%) ranged between 75.0 and 90.12 in the bi x multi hybrids as compared to 8.84 and 36.0% in the bivoltine breeds. About two to nine fold increase of pupation % was noted in the bi x multi hybrids than conventional bivoltine, this is probably due to silk worm race and environmental variations of experimental area. Silk ratios and survival rates were significantly higher in hybrids than parents (Tables 3 and 4). The results of this study are similar to that of different authors on the same area. Use of bi x multi hybrids in commercial seasons was studied by Roy (1997) and Rao (2006), they opined that bi x multi hybrids performed better in both favorable and adverse season due to heterozygous superiority. It is also observed that, both bi x multi hybrids and multi x (bi x multi) hybrids performed better during adverse seed crop season and adverse commercial season, respectively. In addition, the

Table 2. Evaluation of average data of F2, F3 and F4 generations of multivoltine x bivoltine silkworm hybrids for some economic parameters.

Treatment	Fecundity (no.)	Hatchability with chemical (%)	Hatchability without chemical (%)	Average of 10 larval weight (g)	Larval period (days)	Survival rate (%)	Cocooning percentage	Silk ratio (%)	Filament length (m)
Myc x Kenya4	382.40 ^a ±18.72	94.05 ^a ±1.90	50.00 ^b ±0.00	38.04 ^b ±0.24	23.07 ^a ±0.07	88.58 ^b ±1.06	97.25 ^a ±0.87	22.67 ^a ±0.24	737.57 ^b ±11.88
Myc x Kenya5	384.32 ^a ±24.19	93.49 ^a ±0.26	50.00 ^b ±2.88	36.74 ^b ±0.36	23.00 ^{dc} ±0.00	87.20 ^b ±0.13	97.47 ^a ±0.67	23.15 ^a ±0.69	731.25 ^b ±4.00
Myc x China2	357.25 ^a ±22.82	93.56 ^a ±1.50	48.33 ^b ±1.66	38.67 ^b ±0.85	23.00 ^{dc} ±0.00	89.92 ^{ba} ±0.73	97.08 ^a ±0.23	22.39 ^a ±0.18	736.55 ^b ±7.90
Myc x Korea1	375.42 ^a ±5.60	93.88 ^a ±1.53	48.00 ^b ±3.00	37.80 ^b ±0.74	23.33 ^c ±0.33	86.79 ^b ±0.36	96.78 ^a ±0.35	22.13 ^a ±0.38	737.23 ^b ±8.74
Myc (multivoltine)	380.92 ^a ±22.78	96.66 ^a ±0.42	96.67 ^a ±0.33	31.52 ^c ±0.69	22.66 ^d ±0.33	92.76 ^a ±0.43	96.90 ^a ±0.34	22.68 ^a ±0.56	592.74 ^c ±14.64
China2 (bivoltine)	399.01 ^a ±13.72	85.74 ^b ±0.31	0.00 ^c ±0.00	41.51 ^a ±0.75	26.70 ^a ±0.20	81.69 ^c ±1.79	96.78 ^a ±0.35	22.15 ^a ±0.64	769.12 ^a ±8.65
Kenya4 (bivoltine)	385.68 ^a ±23.36	84.45 ^b ±1.09	0.00 ^c ±0.00	41.86 ^a ±0.75	26.31 ^a ±0.03	75.52 ^d ±2.88	97.18 ^a ±0.26	19.25 ^b ±0.43	784.22 ^a ±8.69
Kenya5 (bivoltine)	383.91 ^a ±7.99	86.52 ^b ±3.48	0.00 ^c ±0.00	43.25 ^a ±0.54	25.57 ^b ±0.39	76.01 ^d ±1.52	96.84 ^a ±0.30	19.76 ^b ±0.35	767.44 ^a ±1.09
Korea1 (bivoltine)	366.18 ^a ±0.63	85.65 ^b ±1.24	0.00 ^c ±0.00	41.89 ^a ±0.98	25.44 ^b ±0.29	76.29 ^d ±1.16	97.44 ^a ±0.63	20.14 ^b ±0.73	765.16 ^a ±3.35

Table 3. Evaluation of average data of F5 and F6 (generations) hybrids of polyvoltine x bivoltine silkworm for some economic parameters.

Treatment	Fecundity (no.)	Hatchability with chemical (%)	Hatchability without chemical (%)	Average of 10 larval weight (g)	Larval period (days)	Survival rate (%)	Cocooning percentage	Silk ratio (%)	Filament length (m)
Myc x Kenya4	354.52 ^b ±10.07	93.66 ^{ba} ±1.34	53.33 ^b ±1.66	42.08 ^a ±0.06	22.78 ^{dc} ±0.23	88.14 ^{bc} ±0.99	97.11 ^a ±0.43	21.60 ^{bac} ±0.12	765.31 ^{ba} ±4.33
Myc x Kenya5	375.26 ^a ±13.48	92.61 ^b ±0.29	53.66 ^b ±1.85	40.75 ^a ±0.46	23.07 ^c ±0.06	87.66 ^{bc} ±1.27	97.18 ^a ±0.72	22.72 ^{ba} ±0.34	763.16 ^{ba} ±11.88
Myc x China2	372.32 ^{ba} ±12.25	95.40 ^a ±1.59	53.33 ^b ±1.66	40.93 ^a ±1.26	23.00 ^c ±0.00	89.91 ^{ba} ±0.56	96.24 ^a ±0.55	22.82 ^a ±0.24	763.51 ^{ba} ±4.15
Myc x Korea1	385.96 ^a ±18.10	93.86 ^{ba} ±0.33	55.67 ^b ±0.33	40.44 ^a ±0.50	22.80 ^d ±0.41	85.73 ^c ±0.62	96.98 ^a ±0.37	22.71 ^{ba} ±0.51	745.01 ^b ±0.24
Myc (multivoltine)	371.96 ^{ba} ±16.01	95.39 ^a ±0.67	96.00 ^a ±0.00	29.76 ^b ±2.77	22.00 ^d ±0.01	92.12 ^a ±1.18	97.08 ^a ±0.23	23.23 ^a ±0.12	493.56 ^c ±17.95
China2 (bivoltine)	380.99 ^a ±22.89	86.57 ^c ±0.58	00.00 ^c ±0.00	41.84 ^a ±0.31	26.00 ^a ±0.00	80.41 ^d ±1.86	96.31 ^a ±0.63	19.97 ^c ±1.22	763.39 ^{ba} ±3.47
Kenya4 (bivoltine)	385.25 ^a ±13.92	83.19 ^d ±0.56	00.00 ^c ±0.00	41.80 ^a ±0.90	25.17 ^{ba} ±0.63	77.05 ^{ed} ±1.63	96.00 ^a ±0.38	21.18 ^{bac} ±0.45	765.58 ^{ba} ±10.34
Kenya5 (bivoltine)	373.44 ^{ba} ±20.92	83.84 ^d ±0.90	00.00 ^c ±0.00	39.73 ^a ±0.19	25.07 ^{ba} ±0.63	77.87 ^{ed} ±0.67	96.55 ^a ±0.30	19.86 ^c ±0.50	762.25 ^{ba} ±3.00
Korea1 (bivoltine)	386.18 ^a ±29.16	79.74 ^e ±0.20	00.00 ^c ±0.00	41.24 ^a ±0.62	24.73 ^b ±0.37	74.34 ^e ±1.28	95.85 ^a ±0.80	20.73 ^{bc} ±1.16	775.78 ^a ±14.19

superiority of the hybrids over parental strains is undoubtedly due to variable magnitude of heterosis for the quantitative characters in silkworm and the results of present study corroborate the findings of Gamo (1976). On the other hands, hybrid vigor is an important tool in increasing cocoon production, evaluation, maintenance of inbred lines and identification of

promising hybrids for commercial exploitation Nagaraju, 1996. Besides, they opined that bi x multi hybrids performed better in adverse season due to heterozygous superiority. The superiority of the hybrids over parental strains is undoubtedly due to variable magnitude of heterosis for the quantitative characters in silkworm and the results of present study are corroborate that of Gamo (1976).

Larval period significantly increased in parents than hybrids for all generations (F5- F7) (Tables 3 and 4). According to Moorthy and Kar (2012), the larval period of bivoltine x multivoltine hybrids was less (1.5 to 3.0 days) as compared to the bivoltine parents. Similarly, larval period was less in bi x multi hybrids as compared to conventional bivoltine breed for two reasons; one is because of

Table 4. Evaluation of F7 generation hybrids of polyvoltine x bivoltines silkworm for some economic parameters.

Treatment	Fecundity (no.)	Hatchability with chemical (%)	Hatchability without chemical (%)	Average of 10 larval weight (g)	Larval period (days)	Survival rate (%)	Cocooning percentage	Silk ratio (%)	Filament length (m)
Myc x Kenya4	362.00 ^a ±3.65	93.75 ^{ba} ±1.45	41.67 ^b ±4.40	44.74 ^a ±1.50	22.23 ^b ±0.05	86.78 ^b ±0.39	96.60 ^{ba} ±0.32	23.06 ^{ba} ±0.80	757.75 ^a ±16.34
Myc x Kenya5	383.26 ^b ±14.37	93.51 ^{ba} ±1.30	45.00 ^b ±2.88	44.34 ^a ±1.20	21.09 ^c ±0.07	88.97 ^{ba} ±1.21	97.45 ^a ±0.56	22.41 ^{ba} ±0.37	764.62 ^a ±10.41
Myc x China2	400.22 ^{ba} ±7.26	94.59 ^{ba} ±0.90	40.00 ^b ±2.88	44.87 ^a ±0.70	21.53 ^{cb} ±0.39	87.72 ^b ±1.66	96.55 ^{ba} ±0.30	22.85 ^{ba} ±0.39	752.34 ^a ±6.64
Myc x Korea1	374.11 ^b ±13.74	91.78 ^b ±1.14	41.33 ^b ±3.67	43.916 ^b ±0.33	21.28 ^{cb} ±0.56	86.77 ^b ±0.33	95.89 ^b ±0.32	22.34 ^b ±0.12	766.00 ^a ±9.77
Myc (multivoltine)	368.95 ^b ±22.78	96.71 ^a ±0.59	96.34 ^a ±0.33	35.70 ^c ±0.32	21.93 ^{cb} ±0.37	92.93 ^a ±0.31	97.61 ^a ±0.33	23.62 ^a ±0.16	487.49 ^b ±18.73
China2 (bivoltine)	425.29 ^a ±12.97	83.19 ^c ±1.52	00.00 ^c ±0.00	44.71 ^a ±0.56	25.74 ^a ±0.25	80.33 ^c ±2.56	97.62 ^a ±0.41	19.75 ^c ±0.11	768.43 ^a ±9.14
Kenya4 (bivoltine)	398.13 ^{ba} ±8.04	82.23 ^c ±1.01	00.00 ^c ±0.00	45.39 ^a ±0.14	25.34 ^a ±0.33	78.30 ^c ±1.12	96.65 ^{ba} ±0.40	19.71 ^c ±0.15	752.36 ^a ±6.50
Kenya5 (bivoltine)	395.49 ^{ba} ±14.43	82.92 ^c ±0.61	00.00 ^c ±0.00	44.20 ^a ±0.82	25.45 ^a ±0.29	77.88 ^c ±1.68	96.68 ^{ba} ±0.43	20.63 ^c ±0.61	764.67 ^a ±0.71
Korea1 (bivoltine)	399.08 ^{ba} ±8.08	83.30 ^c ±2.03	00.00 ^c ±0.00	45.16 ^a ±0.33	25.77 ^a ±0.39	78.16 ^c ±1.06	96.56 ^{ba} ±0.78	19.74 ^c ±0.19	766.18 ^a ±15.58

heterogeneity and another is because of male parent (Roy, 1997). When a multivoltine, which possesses the shortest larval duration, is used as female component of the cross (bi x multi), the F1 larvae matures early. It is due to the fact that male component of the cross-carrying maturity gene, that is, early maturity in multivoltine and late maturity in bivoltine on the Z chromosomes, influences the larval duration.

Conclusion

Multivoltine x bivoltine mulberry silkworms crossing was performed and evaluated up to seventh generation (F7) by using some economic parameters. Hybrids of multivoltine x bivoltines silkworms are better than individual parents in terms of relative disease resistant and quality of silk produced. Significantly ($P < 0.01$) higher survival rates and silk ratios were observed at generation five (F5) and above as compared to the other generations for maximum silk production. Therefore, it can be concluded that,

for the maximum and sustainable silk production from silkworms, F5 generation hybrids of multivoltine x bivoltines silkworms are better than individual parents for the end users.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- Basavaraja HK (2005). A text Book in silkworm Breeding and Genetics. Central Silk Board, Bangalore, India. pp. 94-144.
- Datta RK, Nagaraju J (1987). Genetic engineering and tropical sericulture. Indian Silk 26:9-12.
- Gamo T (1976). Recent concepts and trend in silkworm breeding. *Farming Japan* 10:11.
- Moorthy SM, Kar NB (2012). Studies on the suitability of using bivoltine x multivoltine silkworm hybrids in seed crop and utilization as male parent for preparations of multi x (bivoltine x multivoltine) commercial silkworm hybrids in tropical countries. *Int. J. Res. Zool.* 2(1):6-10.
- Nagaraju J (1996). Cross breeding and heterosis in silkworms (*Bombyx mori* L.) A review. *Sericologia* 36:1-20.

- Rao PRT (2006). Utilization of bivoltine female parents for preparations of cross breeds of *Bombyx mori* L. for the tropics. *UP. J. Zool.* 26:185-192.
- Reddy RM, Prakash NBV (2008). Heterosis potential in selective parental F1 hybrids of divergent geographic ecotypes of tropical tasar silkworm, *Antheraea mylitta* D (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae). *Acad. J. Entomol.* 1:32-35.
- Reddy RM, Sinha MK (2009). Application of parents by selection for basic and commercial seed efficiency in tropical tasar silkworm, *Antheraea mylitta* Drury (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae). *Acad. J. Entomol.* 2:56-61.
- Reddy RM, Suryanarayana N, Ojha NG, Hansda G, Rai S, Prakash NBV (2009a). Basic seed stock maintenance and multiplication in Indian tropical tasar silkworm *Antheraea mylitta* Drury: A strategic approach. *Int. J. Ind. Entomol.* 18:69-75.
- Reddy RM, Suryanarayana N, Sinha MK, Gahlot NS, Hansda G, Ojha NG, Prakash NBV (2009b). Silk filament progression with backcross breeding generations in tropical tasar silkworm, *Antheraea mylitta* D. *Int. J. Ind. Entomol.* 19:187-192.
- Reddy RM, Prasad BC (2010). Application of parental selection for productivity improvement in tropical tasar silkworm *Antheraea mylitta* Drury: A review. *J. Entomol.* 7:129-140.
- Reddy RM, Sinha MK (2010). Influence of hybridization on the traits of silk production and filament denier in Indian tropical tasar silk insect, *Antheraea mylitta*, Drury. *Int. J. Zool. Res.* 6:277-285.
- Roy GC (1997). Evaluation of multivoltine x bivoltine and

- bivoltine x multivoltine hybrids of *Bombyx mori* L in West Bengal condition. *Sericologia* 37:113-121.
- Samson MV (1990). Survey on the relative incidence of silkworm diseases in Karnataka, India. *India. J. Seric.* 29:248-254.
- Samson MV (1992). Silkworm crop protection. Proceedings of the National Conference on Mulberry Sericulture Research, Dec. 10-11, CTRTI, Mysore, India pp. 24-34.
- Sang JH (1956). Hybrid vigour and animal production. In *Anim. Breed. Abstr.* 24:1-6.
- Seshagiri SV, Ramesha C, Rao CGP (2009). Genetic manifestation of hybrid vigor in cross breeds of mulberry silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. *Int. J. Zool. Res.* 5:150-160.
- Sivaprakasam N, Rabindra RJ (1995). Incidence of grasserie in silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. in selected districts of Tamilnadu, India. *J. Seric.* 34:100-104.
- Sivaprasad V, Chandrashekhariah C (2003). Screening of silkworm breeds for tolerance to *Bombyx mori* nuclear polyhedro virus (BmNPV). *Int. J. Ind. Entomol.* 7:87-91.
- Subba RG (1991). Incidence of crop loss from adopted rearers level in West Bengal due to silkworm diseases. *Indian J. Seric.* 30:167-167.
- Wang H, Fang Y, Wang L, Zhu W, Ji H, Wang H, Xu S, Sima Y (2015). Heterosis and differential gene expression in hybrids and parents in *Bombyx mori* by digital gene expression profiling. *Scientific reports.* 5.
- Yokoyama T (1956). On the application of heterosis in Japanese sericulture. In *Proceedings of the International Gen. Symposium, Japan* pp. 527-531.

Journal of Agricultural Biotechnology and Sustainable Development

Related Journals Published by Academic Journals

- *Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop Science*
- *African Journal of Agricultural Research*
- *Journal of Horticulture and Forestry*
- *International Journal of Livestock Production*
- *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquaculture*
- *Journal of Cereals and Oilseeds*
- *Journal of Soil Science and Environmental Management*
- *Journal of Stored Products and Postharvest Research*

academicJournals